

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/449,782	MCKEETH, JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary J. Steelman	2191

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Mary J. Steelman. (3) _____.

(2) Dan Hu, Reg. No. 40,025. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2006

Time: 2 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2-7-2006
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made attempt to understand whether claim limitations were clear. Following discussion, Examiner maintains position that claim limitations read on an executing application with install / setup functionality invoking command line calls to various system utilities to register software (including related identifiers) in the registry, for later use by the executing application. This is a well known method to install software in a Windows environment. Examiner maintains the rejection, but provides additional references to support the opinion.